

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Confirmation No. 5119

Ichiro MITSUYOSHI

Date: April 15, 2009

Serial No.: 10/813,323

Group Art Unit: 3652

Filed: March 29, 2004

Examiner: Jennifer HERRERA

For: SUBSTRATE PROCESSING APPARATUS

VIA EFS-WEB

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450


Arlington, V 22313-1450

COMMUNICATION

In compliance with the requirement to provide an Interview Summary of a telephone interview with the Examiner and Applicant's undersigned representative, on March 31, 2009. The substance of the interview is as contained in the Interview Summary sheet attached to Paper No. 20090331 dated April 3, 2009. Namely: "Applicant called to ask whether if [sic] they had changed "element" to "mechanism" in the last amendment, whether it would be allowable. The examiner stated that he could not completely reconsider the claim after final but his impression was that it would strengthen applicant's potential arguments but it would be more helpful to add more limitations than that to clarify the differences between the references and the instant invention."

Respectfully submitted,

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON APRIL 15, 2009


MAX MOSKOWITZ
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700